

# Financial Statements and Income Taxes

Ryan Wilson



---

# User Warning

- This discussion is shaped by the framework I use to think about these concepts and the papers that have most influenced that thinking.
- This is not a comprehensive review of the literature in this area and it is possible (likely) I have omitted some very important papers related to the topics covered in my discussion.

# Why do we care about accounting for income taxes?

- This is what we are good at!
- Taxes are a significant cost for most firms.
- Tax accounts are complex and involve considerable discretion.
- Not clear what investors, analysts, creditors understand about disclosures related to income taxes.
- The primary user of disclosed tax information may be an adversarial party – the *tax authority*.
- Potential *political costs* associated with tax planning activities.
- Taxable income is a potentially useful alternative measure of firm performance.

---

# Major Topics

- Taxable income (tax expense) as an alternative or benchmark / measure of firm performance.
- Managerial discretion over accounting for income taxes and the use of the tax expense to manage earnings.
- Searching for evidence (footprint) of aggressive tax avoidance/tax sheltering/income shifting in the financials statements (Skip).

---

# Valuation of Tax Expense

- Thomas and Zhang (2014): The tax expense *should* be negatively associated with firm value.
- Prior research finds mixed results including positive *and* negative values on the tax expense coefficient.
- How can a lower tax expense be bad news?
- Tax expense contains incremental information about underlying profitability.

---

# Valuation of Tax Expense

- Matching Role: Tax expense is fundamentally a cost that represents lost value.
- Proxy-for-profitability role: Tax expense provides incremental information to non-tax variables about future profitability.
- When expectations for future profitability are included in full specifications, the tax expenses for-profitability role is suppressed.

---

# Taxable Income as an alternative performance measure

- Why would taxable income be a useful measure of firm performance?
  - Hanlon and Hietzman (2010) note the differences between GAAP accounting and tax accounting stem from the different objectives of the two systems.
  - Tax Rules result from political process intended to encourage or discourage certain activities
  - GAAP is intended to reflect economics of transactions and help statement users make decisions.

---

# Taxable Income as an alternative performance measure

- Why would taxable income be a useful measure of firm performance?
  - ❑ Tax rules are applied more homogeneously than GAAP rules.
  - ❑ Managers may have an incentive to manipulate GAAP earnings upward to meet key earnings targets.
  - ❑ Ideally in these cases managers would not want to also report higher taxable income. Hence difference between book and tax *might* be indicative of earnings management.



---

## Quick Aside: Taxes paid on fraudulent earnings

- Erickson, Hanlon, and Maydew (2004) Examine a sample of 27 firms accused by the SEC of overstating income. Find firms were willing to pay, on average, 11 cents of additional income taxes per dollar of inflated earnings.
- Find 15 firms total paid taxes on overstated earnings.

# Taxable Income as an alternative performance measure

- Why would taxable income be a useful measure of firm performance?
- Mills and Newberry (2001): Firms with incentives to manage earnings report greater book-tax differences (actual book-tax differences)
- Phillips, Pincus, and Rego (2003): Find the *deferred tax expense* is incrementally useful to measures of total and abnormal accruals in detecting earnings management to avoid earnings declines and losses.

# Implications of large book-tax differences

- Hanlon (2005): Earnings are less *persistent* for firms with large positive *or* large negative book-tax differences.
- Hanlon also examines whether investors understand the implications of large book-tax differences and finds that they do.
- Lev and Nissim (2004): Find book-tax ratio is predictive of five-year accounting earnings changes and subsequent stock returns.
- Ayers, LaPlante, and McGuire (2010): Find credit rating changes are related to changes in book-tax differences.

# Permanent v. Temporary Book-tax Differences

- Point of confusion:
  - Existing studies focus on different aspects of book tax-differences. Some examine the *total difference between book and tax income* (or the ratio of tax and book income) and others focus only on *temporary book-tax differences*.
  - Jackson (2015): Separates book-tax differences into permanent and temporary components. Finds *temporary BTDs* predictive of future changes in pretax income and *permanent BTDs* predictive of future changes in tax expense.

# Why are Book-Tax Difference Useful?

- Blaylock, Shevlin, and Wilson (2010): Find when large positive book-tax differences arise from tax avoidance they are a less useful signal about accruals persistence.
- Drake (2015): Proposes that BTDs are related to firm life cycle stage. Finds that controlling for firm life cycle stage weakens the association between large BTDs and lower earnings persistence.

# Do investors understand implications of book-tax differences?

- Who knows?
- Hanlon (2005) and Blaylock et al. (2012) generally find investors correctly price the persistence of accruals for large book-tax difference firms.
- Weber (2009): Analysts forecasts are more optimistically biased when book income is high relative to taxable income.
- Chi, Pincus, and Teoh (2014) find mispricing related to the ratio of taxable income to book income.
- Hepfer (2016) working on thesis that finds some mispricing related to book-tax differences.

# Book-Tax Conformity

- Hanlon, Laplante, Shevlin (2005): Respond to calls for increased conformity. Examine relative and incremental information content of book income and taxable income. Find book income has greater explanatory power, *but* taxable income provides incremental information.
- Hanlon, Maydew, Shevlin (2008): Examine sample of firms changing from cash to accrual method for tax purposes. Change represents an increase in conformity and leads to a reduction in earnings informativeness.

---

# Book-Tax Conformity (Continued)

- Atwood, Drake, and Myers (2010): Develop a measure of book-tax conformity and find that the level of book-tax conformity in a country is associated with lower earnings persistence.
- Blaylock, Gaertner, and Shevlin (2014): Find higher book-tax conformity is associated with **more** and not less earnings management.



# Do investors understand implications of changes in Valuation Allowance?

- Dhaliwal, Kaplan, Laux, and Weisbrod (2013): Changes in the valuation allowance contain information about the persistence of losses. Find investors do not appear to fully understand the implications for loss firm-years with positive taxable income.
- Edwards (2015): Finds positive association between increases in valuation allowance and the cost of debt.

# Earnings Management

- Managers have considerable discretion over three areas of accounting for income taxes.
  - Decision over whether (and how much) of a *valuation allowance* to record.
  - Decision to designate foreign earnings as *permanently reinvested abroad*.
  - Accounting for *uncertain tax benefits* (tax reserves or tax cushion)

# Earnings Management

- Dhaliwal, Gleason, and Mills (2004): Tax account is a powerful setting because it is the last account closed prior to earnings announcement.
- Innovative measure of unmanaged earnings. They use the ETR reported in the third quarter.
- Find firms lower their ETR when they would otherwise miss the consensus analysts forecast.
- Results could be driven by all three forms of tax accrual earnings management.

# Earnings Management – Valuation Allowance

- Visvanathan (1998) and Miller and Skinner (1998) test whether managers use changes in the valuation allowance to smooth earnings. Find no evidence this is the case.
- Frank and Rego (2006): find evidence the valuation allowance is used to meet analysts' forecasts.
- Schrand and Wong (2003): examine banks and find they use the valuation allowance to meet analysts' forecast targets.

---

# Permanently Reinvested Earnings

- If managers designate foreign earnings as permanently reinvested then they can avoid recording a deferred tax liability associated with potential future repatriation taxes.
- Krull (2004): Examines whether managers use discretion over this designation to manage earnings. Finds changes in permanently reinvested foreign earnings are positively related to the difference between analysts forecasts and a measure of pre-managed earnings.

---

# Permanently Reinvested Earnings

- Financial reporting incentives can also have a direct effect on the repatriation decision itself.
- Blouin, Krull, and Robinson (2012): Use confidential foreign affiliate data and find reporting incentives reduce repatriations by 17 to 21 percent annually.

---

# Earnings Management – Tax Reserves

- Examining earnings management through the tax reserves is difficult for a variety of reasons.
- First, firms typically did not disclose any information about tax reserves or tax cushion prior to FIN 48 being implemented in 2007.
- Gleason and Mills (2002) use IRS data and find firms often do not disclose IRS deficiency claims in excess of 5 percent of income.
- Difficult to estimate the discretionary component of changes in the tax reserve.

---

# Prior to FIN 48

- Blouin, Gleason, Mills, and Sikes (2010): Find that prior to adoption of FIN 48 firms report more settlements and release reserves more frequently.



# Earnings Management – Tax Reserves

- Gupta, Laux, and Lynch (2015): Find evidence firms use tax reserves to meet analysts' forecast prior to FIN 48, but no evidence post-FIN 48.
- Cazier, Rego, Tian, and Wilson (2015): Find no evidence that either SOX or the introduction of FIN 48 reduced earnings management through the tax reserve.

---

# What can we learn from UTB disclosures?

- De Simone, Robinson, and Stomberg (2014): Examine 19 paper companies that received refundable excise taxes in 2009. Find firms are not consistent in either their tax treatment of the refund or the decision to record a tax reserve.
- Robinson, Stomberg, and Towery (2015): Use IRS data to examine how much of the reserves translate to settlements. Find that 24 cents of every dollar unwinds via settlement over a three year period.
- Ciconte, Donohoe, Lisowsky, and Mayberry (2016): Find UTBs are predictive of future tax cash outflows. Find no change in informativeness under FIN 48 relative to SFAS 5.

---

# What is left?

- What about determinants of tax disclosure quality?
  - Geographic earnings data
  - Location and amount of cash held abroad
  - Potential tax liability associated with permanently reinvested earnings.
- What are determinants of decision to designate foreign earnings as PRE?
  - What about firms that only designate part of their foreign earnings as PRE?